

PERFORMANCE RESULTS 2012/13 QUARTER 2



Achieving safer and more sustainable communities



ESFRS Performance Report Quarter 2 2012/13

| | Number | Indicator description | Q2 2011/12 | Q2 2012/13 | Projected year end result | ESFRS Performance target / maximum community threshold for fatalities & injuries | |
|-----------------------|--------|--|---|------------|---------------------------|--|-----|
| Strategic Objective 1 | 1 | % of HSVs to vulnerable people | 73% | 86% | 87% | 70% | |
| | 2 | No of accidental dwelling fires (ADFs) | 98 | 113 | 505 | 510 | |
| | 7 | Home Safety Visits (HSVs) | 2,335 | 2,252 | 8,746 | 10,000 | |
| | 8 | No of primary fires | 270 | 311 | 1,267 | 1,400 | |
| | 9 | No of deaths in primary fires | 2 | 1 | 4 | 6 | |
| | 10 | No of injuries in primary fires | 14 | 8 | 32 | 79 | |
| | 11 | Deaths in ADFs | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | |
| | 12 | Injuries in ADFs | 7 | 6 | 24 | 49 | |
| | 13a | Deliberate primary fires not in vehicles | 48 | 40 | 182 | 253 | |
| | 13b | Deliberate primary fires in vehicles | 35 | 53 | 178 | 226 | |
| | 14 | Deliberate secondary fires | 234 | 138 | 509 | 837 | |
| | 36 | % of fires in dwellings with no smoke alarm | 25.0% | 23.1% | 24.5% | 32% | |
| | Obj 2 | 15 | No of fires in non-domestic properties | 46 | 51 | 174 | 259 |
| | | 16 | Inspections of high risk premises started | 197 | 157 | 710 | 700 |
| Strategic Objective 3 | 3 | %of accidental dwelling fires confined to room of origin | 89.8% | 87.6% | 92.0% | 94% | |
| | 4a | % reduction of automatic fire alarms (AFA) | -29.80% | -33.0% | -32.0% | -20% | |
| | 4b | % of AFA calls challenged by ESFRS | 0.8% | 8.2% | 8.0% | - | |
| | 4c | % of AFA calls turned back by ESFRS | 5.5% | 3.7% | 5.0% | - | |
| | 4d | % of AFA mobilised calls to properties covered by the RRO that were classified as a primary fire | 2.2% | 2.2% | 2.5% | - | |
| | 18 | % of LTI attended by 1st appliance within 8 minutes | 78.2% | 72.7% | 76.1% | 60% | |
| | 19 | % of LTI attended by 1st appliance within 13 minutes | 95.5% | 94.5% | 95.2% | 90% | |
| | 20 | % of LTI attended by 2nd appliance within 8 minutes | 63.5% | 57.1% | 59.5% | 50% | |
| | 21 | % of LTI attended by 2nd appliance within 13 minutes | 88.4% | 83.5% | 85.4% | 80% | |
| | 22 | % of incidents attended within 20 minutes | 98.5% | 98.8% | 98.9% | 95% | |
| Obj 5 | 26 | Retained (RDS) female firefighters as new entrants | A | A | A | 15% | |
| | 27 | Minority ethnic staff as new entrants to the FRS | A | A | A | 3.5% | |
| | 28 | Disabled employees as new entrants to the FRS | A | A | A | 5% | |
| Obj 6 | 5 | The no of working days/shifts lost due to sickness | 2.37 | 2.0 | 8.2 | 7.5 | |
| | 29 | Number of workplace reported accidents / injuries | 34 | 38 | 144 | 124 | |
| | 30 | Number of RIDDOR incidents | 9 | 3 | 12 | 14 | |
| Obj 7 | 6 | Expenditure per head of the population | A | A | A | £51.50 | |
| | 31 | Affordable community safety services | A | A | A | - | |
| | 34 | To achieve a 3.5% reduction in CO2 & greenhouse gas emissions | A | A | A | 3.50% | |
| Key | | On Target | | | | | |
| | | Off Target | | | | | |
| | | Within 10% | | | | | |
| | | Annual Indicator | A | | | | |
| Total | | On Target | 21 | | | | |
| | | Within 10% of Target | 3 | | | | |
| | | Failed to meet target | 2 | | | | |
| | | Priority Area | | | | | |